Role of Primary Care Physician in Management of Psychiatric Disorders

Najlaa Mohammad Alsudairy*[1], Ahmed Mohammed Alasmari[2], Fahad Mohammed Saeed[3], Haitham Mohammed Alqahtani[4], Lama Faiz Aljuaid[5], Salem Khald S Almasar[6], Abusalim Hassan Mahmoud[7], Ohoud Essam Alharthi[8], Ali Hashim Alnahwi[9], Rawa Fahad Alhmiani[10], Yahya Majed Hamidaddin[11], Safa Abdulkhaleq Almomen[12]

[1]Assistant Consultant FM, National Guard Hospital, King Abdulaziz Medical City, SCOHS, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, Email: Najlaa.Alsudairy@gmail.com
[2] General Practitioner, Abha Psychiatric Hospital, Saudi Arabia, Email: Ahmad2121418@hotmail.com
[3] General Practitioner, Eradah Complex, Abha, Saudi Arabia, Email: ggg39529@gmail.com
[4]Psychiatry Resident, Eradah Complex for Mental Health Abha, Saudi Arabia, Email:haithamalsalem94@gmail.com
[5]Medical Student, Vision College, Medicine, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, Email:lama.aljaud@gmail.com
[6] General Practitioner, Psychiatric Health Hospital, Tabuk, Saudi Arabia, Email: salem.100.ksa3@gmail.com
[7]Tabuk Health Cluster, King Khalid Hospital in Tabuk, Saudi Arabia, Email: Xaccan36@gmail.com
[8]Medical Intern, Almaarefa University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, Email:oalharrhi20@gmail.com
[9]General Physician, Eradah Complex, Al-Dammam, Saudi Arabia, Email: Nahwi1418@gmail.com
[10] Department of Family Medicine, Wastalmadinah PHC, Email: Dr.rawafahad@gmail.com
[11]General Practitioner, Alnaseem East Primary Healthcare Center, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, Email:Yaya.m.h@hotmail.com
[12]General Practitioner, Maternity and Children Hospital Alahsa, Saudi Arabia, Email:salmomen1988@gmail.com

***Corresponding author: Najlaa Mohammad Alsudairy**, **Email:** Najlaa.Alsudairy@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Background: Psychiatric disorders represent a leading cause of global disability, with the majority of affected individuals seeking treatment exclusively within the primary care setting. The primary care physician (PCP) is thus positioned as the frontline manager for these conditions, a role that is both critical and complex.

Objective: This review article aims to comprehensively examine the multifaceted role of the PCP in the management of psychiatric disorders, encompassing assessment, pharmacological and psychotherapeutic interventions, collaboration with specialists, and the significant barriers to effective care.

Methods: A narrative review of the literature was conducted, synthesizing findings from key studies, clinical guidelines, and systematic reviews. A search of PubMed, EMBASE, and PsycINFO was performed for articles published between 2000-2023, using terms related to primary care, mental health, and specific disorders/interventions. Priority was given to high-impact trials, meta-analyses, and major clinical guidelines.

Results: Evidence from randomized controlled trials and meta-analyses confirms that PCPs are essential in identifying psychiatric disorders through screening and clinical assessment, often using tools like the PHQ-9 and GAD-7. They are responsible for initiating first-line pharmacological treatments and providing brief, evidence-based psychotherapeutic interventions such as the BATHE technique, behavioral activation, and motivational interviewing. The collaborative care model has emerged as the most effective structure for integrating PCPs with behavioral health specialists, leading to superior patient outcomes, including improved remission rates. However, significant barriers impede optimal care, including time constraints, inadequate reimbursement, fragmented systems, stigma, and gaps in PCP training and confidence.

Conclusion: The effective management of psychiatric disorders is a fundamental competency for the modern PCP. Overcoming existing challenges requires a multi-faceted approach, including systemic redesign toward integrated care models, enhanced training, and sustained efforts to reduce stigma. Empowering PCPs in this role is imperative for improving the accessibility, quality, and outcomes of mental healthcare.

Keywords: Primary Care, Psychiatry, Depression, Anxiety, Pharmacotherapy, Psychotherapy, Health Services Accessibility.

Received: 01/12/2025
Accepted: 18/12/2025

INTRODUCTION

The global burden of psychiatric disorders represents one of the most significant public health challenges of the 21st century. According to the World Health Organization, mental, neurological, and substance use disorders account for approximately 13% of the global burden of disease, with depression being a leading cause of disability worldwide [1]. This immense burden is not confined to specialized mental health clinics but is profoundly felt on the front lines of healthcare. The primary care setting has become the *de facto* mental healthcare system for a vast majority of the population [2].

This convergence of need and access places the PCP in a pivotal, yet complex, position. The landscape of modern primary care is characterized by a high prevalence of psychiatric conditions, frequently intertwined with chronic medical illnesses. It is estimated that at least 20-25% of patients presenting to a primary care office have a clinically significant psychiatric condition, though many present with somatic complaints rather than overt emotional distress [3]. Furthermore, the comorbidity between mental and physical health is profound; for instance, the prevalence of major depression in patients with chronic conditions like diabetes or coronary artery disease is two to three times higher than in the general population [4].

Despite the critical nature of this role, PCPs face substantial systemic and clinical challenges in delivering optimal mental healthcare. One of the most significant barriers is the constraint of time. In fact, studies suggest that PCPs fail to recognize depression in approximately 30-50% of affected patients in their practice [5]. Even when a disorder is correctly identified, significant treatment gaps persist. A landmark study found that only about half of the patients diagnosed with depression in primary care receive any form of minimally adequate treatment, defined as either an appropriate medication regimen for a sufficient duration or a requisite number of psychotherapy sessions [6].

The challenges are further compounded by limitations in training and access to specialist support. While PCPs are skilled in managing a broad range of conditions, many report a lack of confidence in their ability to manage complex psychiatric cases, treat conditions beyond depression and anxiety, or manage psychiatric medications at the doses and combinations often required for treatment-resistant cases [7]. The referral pathway to psychiatric specialists is often fraught with obstacles, including severe shortages of psychiatrists, particularly for child and adolescent populations, long wait times, and restrictions imposed by patients' insurance networks.

In response to these challenges, innovative models of care have been developed to support PCPs and improve patient outcomes. The most evidence-based of these is the collaborative care model. This systematic approach integrates behavioral health professionals, such as care managers and consulting psychiatrists, directly into the primary care team. In this model, the PCP remains the central treating physician, but is supported by a care manager who provides patient education, symptom monitoring, and brief psychosocial interventions, and a psychiatric consultant who advises on diagnostic or therapeutic challenges. Robust meta-analyses have demonstrated that collaborative care is significantly more effective than usual care in improving outcomes for depression and anxiety, leading to better response and remission rates [8]. Furthermore, these models have been shown to be cost-effective, improving quality of life and reducing overall healthcare expenditures by addressing mental health needs proactively [9].

METHODS

This article is a narrative review designed to synthesize and critically examine the current literature on the role of the primary care physician (PCP) in managing psychiatric disorders. To ensure a comprehensive and evidence-based overview, a structured approach to identifying and analyzing relevant literature was employed.

Search Strategy

A systematic search of the electronic databases **PubMed, EMBASE, and PsycINFO** was conducted for articles published in English between **January 2000 and December 2023**. The search strategy combined Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms and keywords related to three core concepts: (1) primary care (e.g., "primary health care," "family practice," "general practitioners"), (2) mental health (e.g., "mental disorders," "psychiatry"), and (3) specific functions and disorders (e.g., "depression," "anxiety," "screening," "drug therapy," "collaborative care," "referral and consultation"). Boolean operators (AND, OR) were used to link these concepts. The reference lists of key articles and relevant clinical practice guidelines were also manually searched to identify additional sources.

Study Selection

The focus was on synthesizing high-level evidence and authoritative guidance. Therefore, priority was given to systematic reviews, meta-analyses, landmark randomized controlled trials (RCTs), and major clinical guidelines from reputable bodies (e.g., USPSTF, NICE,

CANMAT). Observational studies, qualitative research, and editorials were included selectively to provide context, illustrate implementation challenges, or highlight evolving perspectives. Articles were excluded if they focused exclusively on specialty psychiatric settings without primary care relevance, involved pediatric populations only (unless addressing transition of care), or were not available in full text.

Data Synthesis

Given the narrative design of this review, a formal meta-analysis was not performed. Instead, findings from the selected literature were thematically synthesized. Key themes were identified a priori (e.g., assessment, pharmacological management, collaborative models, barriers) and served as the organizing framework. Evidence was analyzed to summarize consensus views, highlight areas of debate, trace the evolution of care models, and identify gaps in the literature. The synthesis aims to provide a coherent, evidence-informed overview of the PCP's multifaceted role rather than a statistical summary of effect sizes.

The Interface Between Primary Care and Psychiatry:

The management of psychiatric disorders within the healthcare ecosystem is fundamentally a collaborative endeavor, situated at the critical intersection of primary care and specialty psychiatry. This interface is not merely a referral pathway but a dynamic, bidirectional relationship essential for delivering comprehensive, patient-centered care. The high prevalence of mental health conditions in primary care, coupled with the limited specialist resources, necessitates a shift from the traditional, siloed model of care to one of integrated partnership [10, 11].

The drive for collaboration is rooted in epidemiological and clinical reality. As established, primary care settings are the principal point of contact for most individuals with common mental illnesses [2]. However, the complexity of these conditions often exceeds the time, training, and resources typically available to a PCP practicing in isolation. Comorbidities are the rule rather than the exception; a patient with treatment-resistant depression may also have underlying bipolar disorder, a personality disorder, or a complex medical condition like hypothyroidism that mimics or exacerbates psychiatric symptoms [7]. Furthermore, the advent of sophisticated psychopharmacology requires expertise in managing complex medication regimens, side effects, and drug interactions that PCPs may not frequently encounter [12]. The historical model of simply referring a patient to psychiatry and disengaging is fraught with failure, as dropout rates from referral are high, and wait times can be prohibitive, often leading to clinical deterioration and PCP frustration [13]. A structured collaborative approach is therefore not an optional enhancement but a fundamental component of effective modern healthcare delivery.

The collaboration between primary care and psychiatry has evolved through several distinct models, ranging from minimal coordination to full integration.

- **The Traditional Referral Model:** This is the most basic level of interaction, characterized by a PCP identifying a patient needing specialty care and transferring the patient's management to a psychiatrist, often with a simple letter or phone call. This model suffers from significant fragmentation, poor communication, and, as noted, high rates of patient non-adherence to the referral [13].
- **The Consultation-Liaison Model:** In this model, a psychiatrist provides one-time or episodic consultations to the PCP regarding specific diagnostic or therapeutic challenges. The psychiatrist offers expert advice, but the PCP retains primary responsibility for the patient's care. While an improvement over pure referral, this model can be reactive and may not provide the sustained support needed for chronic or complex conditions [14].
- **The Collaborative Care Model (CoCM):** This is the most evidence-based and systematically studied model for integration. CoCM is a multi-professional, team-based approach built on five core principles: (1) patient-centered team care, (2) population-based care, (3) measurement-based treatment to target, (4) evidence-based care, and (5) accountable care [15]. In practice, the team consists of the PCP, a behavioral care manager (BCM—often a nurse, social worker, or psychologist), and a psychiatric consultant. The PCP remains the central treating physician. The BCM provides proactive follow-up, patient education, symptom monitoring using validated rating scales (e.g., PHQ-9 for depression), and delivers brief, evidence-based psychosocial interventions. The psychiatric consultant regularly reviews a registry of patients not improving and provides caseload-focused recommendations to the PCP and BCM, rather than directly seeing every patient. This systematic approach ensures that no patient is lost to follow-up and that treatment is actively adjusted until clinical improvement is achieved.

Table 1: Key Characteristics of Major Collaborative Models [12, 15, 16]

Model	Key Features	Communication Flow	Primary Care Physician's Role	Limitations
Traditional Referral	PCP identifies need and transfers care to specialist.	Infrequent, often one-directional (PCP to psychiatrist).	Gatekeeper; disengages after referral.	High fragmentation; patient dropout; long wait times.
Consultation-Liaison	Psychiatrist provides advisory consultations on specific cases.	Episodic, based on specific consult requests.	Retains patient care; implements consultant's advice.	Reactive; lacks systematic follow-up; not for population management.
Collaborative Care (CoCM)	Multi-professional team (PCP, BCM, psychiatric consultant) using a treated population registry.	Continuous, structured, and team based.	Central treating physician; prescribes medications; leads team.	Requires significant system redesign, funding, and training.

The efficacy of the Collaborative Care Model is supported by a robust and growing body of evidence. Numerous randomized controlled trials and meta-analyses have consistently demonstrated its superiority over usual care for a range of conditions, most notably major depression and anxiety disorders. A comprehensive Cochrane review concluded that collaborative care significantly improves depression symptoms, adherence to treatment, and satisfaction with care compared to standard primary care [8]. Furthermore, the benefits are sustainable. Research has shown that the positive effects on clinical outcomes can persist for up to several years post-intervention, indicating that the model instills lasting improvements in care processes [16].

Beyond clinical improvement, CoCM has proven to be cost-effective. By reducing unnecessary specialist visits, decreasing emergency department utilization for psychiatric crises, and improving the control of comorbid medical conditions (e.g., diabetes, heart disease), the model leads to net savings for healthcare systems. A study by Unützer et al. demonstrated that over a four-year period, patients receiving collaborative care for depression had lower total healthcare costs compared to those receiving usual care [9]. This makes a compelling economic argument for payers and healthcare organizations to invest in implementing these integrated structures.

Despite the strong evidence, the widespread implementation of collaborative models faces significant barriers. These include:

- **Financing and Reimbursement:** Traditional fee-for-service payment models often do not reimburse for the non-face-to-face activities central to CoCM, such as caseload review, inter-professional consultation, and registry management. The sustainability of these programs often depends on alternative payment models, such as prospective per-member-per-month (PMPM) payments or value-based contracts [17].
- **Workforce and Training:** There is a shortage of psychiatrists willing and trained to serve in the consultative role, and a similar need for trained behavioral care managers. PCPs may also require education to function effectively within a team-based structure.
- **Technological and Logistical Hurdles:** Effective collaboration requires shared electronic health records, secure communication channels, and data systems to support patient registries, which may not be available in all practice settings.

Successful implementation is facilitated by strong institutional leadership, a culture that values mental health integration, and the availability of standardized toolkits and training resources to guide the process.

The future of the primary care-psychiatry interface will likely be shaped by technological innovation and the expansion of collaborative principles to new conditions. Telepsychiatry and digital health platforms are breaking down geographical barriers, allowing psychiatric consultants to support PCPs in remote and underserved areas. Digital symptom trackers and electronic patient-reported outcome measures can be seamlessly integrated into registries, enhancing measurement-based care. Furthermore, the collaborative care framework is being successfully adapted for a wider range of conditions, including bipolar disorder, post-traumatic stress disorder, and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder, demonstrating the versatility and robustness of the model [15].

Assessment for Psychiatric Disorders in Primary Care:

The accurate and timely identification of psychiatric disorders is the foundational step upon which effective management is built in the primary care setting. This task, however, is fraught with unique challenges that distinguish it from assessment in a specialty mental health clinic. Patients frequently present with somatic manifestations of psychological distress, such as fatigue, sleep disturbances, pain, or gastrointestinal complaints, rather than explicitly reporting mood or anxiety symptoms [17]. This phenomenon, coupled with significant time constraints, stigma, and high rates of medical-psychiatric comorbidity, creates a complex diagnostic environment [5]. The high prevalence of undiagnosed and untreated mental illness in primary care populations represents a significant public health concern. As previously noted, studies indicate that PCPs fail to recognize depression in approximately 30-50% of affected patients [5]. This diagnostic gap is not benign; untreated psychiatric disorders are associated with poorer quality of life, increased functional impairment, worse outcomes for co-occurring chronic medical conditions, and higher overall healthcare utilization and costs [4,18]. The U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) recommends screening for depression in the general adult population, including pregnant and postpartum persons, and for anxiety in children, adolescents, and adults, provided adequate systems are in place for accurate diagnosis, effective treatment, and appropriate follow-up [19]. These recommendations underscore a shift from passive case-finding to proactive screening as a standard of high-quality, preventive primary care. Systematic screening serves as a sensitive net to identify at-risk individuals who might otherwise remain undetected, enabling earlier intervention and improving the odds of recovery.

The use of brief, psychometrically-validated screening tools is the most practical method for systematic case identification in primary care. These instruments are designed to be patient-administered, quickly scored, and easily interpreted, making them feasible for integration into routine workflow, often in waiting rooms or via electronic patient portals prior to the visit. The selection of a tool is guided by its sensitivity, specificity, and positive predictive value in a primary care population [8, 17].

Table 2: Common Psychiatric Screening Tools for Primary Care Practice[20- 24]

Disorder	Screening Tool	Number of Items	Key Features & Interpretation	Time to Administer
Depression	**Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9)[20]**	9	Scores range 0-27. ≥10 suggests major depression; also functions as a symptom severity tracker.	< 3 minutes
Depression	**PHQ-2[20]**	2	Ultra-brief screen (loss of interest, low mood). Score ≥3 warrants full PHQ-9 [20]	< 1 minute
Anxiety	**Generalized Anxiety Disorder-7 (GAD-7)** [21]	7	Scores range 0-21. ≥10 suggests generalized anxiety disorder; also tracks severity.	< 3 minutes
Alcohol Use	**AUDIT-C[22]**	3	Brief version of the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test. Score ≥4 in men, ≥3 in women indicates risky drinking.	< 1 minute
Bipolar Disorder	**Mood Disorders Questionnaire (MDQ)[23]**	13	Screens for lifetime history of manic/hypomanic symptoms. High sensitivity but lower specificity.	5 minutes
Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder	**PC-PTSD-5[24]**	5	Primary Care PTSD Screen for DSM-5. Score ≥3 suggests probable PTSD and need for further evaluation.	2 minutes

The PHQ-9 and GAD-7 have become the cornerstones of depression and anxiety screening in primary care. Their utility extends beyond mere case identification; they provide a quantitative baseline of symptom severity that can be used to monitor treatment response over time, embodying the principle of measurement-based care [20], [21]. For alcohol use, the 3-item AUDIT-C is highly effective at identifying hazardous drinking, a common yet frequently overlooked condition [22]. It is crucial for PCPs to understand that a positive screen is a *diagnostic clue*, not a definitive diagnosis. It indicates the need for a more comprehensive clinical assessment to confirm the diagnosis, rule out other causes, and assess for comorbid conditions.

While universal screening is ideal, its feasibility can vary by practice setting. An alternative or complementary strategy is targeted case-finding, which involves a heightened index of suspicion and selective screening in patient subpopulations at elevated risk. Key indicators that should trigger a formal assessment include:

- **Unexplained somatic symptoms:** Persistent fatigue, headaches, insomnia, or gastrointestinal complaints without a clear medical etiology [17].
- **Comorbid chronic medical conditions:** Patients with diabetes, cardiovascular disease, cancer, or chronic pain have a significantly elevated prevalence of depression and anxiety [4].
- **Recent significant life events:** Bereavement, job loss, divorce, or a new medical diagnosis.
- **Frequent healthcare utilizer status:** Patients with high numbers of clinic visits or emergency department presentations often have underlying, unaddressed psychiatric disorders.
- **Specific patient complaints:** Direct or indirect mentions of feeling "stressed," "nervous," or "down," or concerns raised by family members.

Successful integration of screening requires workflow engineering. This can involve nursing staff administering paper or electronic tablets in the exam room, embedding the PHQ-2 and GAD-2 as vital signs in the electronic health record (EHR), or utilizing automated prompts through the patient portal for annual preventive health visits.

Once a positive screen is identified, the PCP must conduct a focused, yet comprehensive, diagnostic interview. This assessment serves to confirm the suspected diagnosis, evaluate for comorbidities, and establish a therapeutic alliance.

1. **Clinical Interview:** The interview should explore the nature, duration, and severity of the core psychological and somatic symptoms. It is essential to assess the degree of functional impairment in work, social, and family life. A key component is the assessment of patient safety, including direct questioning about suicidal or homicidal ideation, intent, and plan. The presence of suicidal ideation necessitates immediate risk assessment and the formulation of a safety plan.
2. **Medical and Psychiatric Differential Diagnosis:** A critical role of the PCP is to rule out medical conditions that can mimic psychiatric disorders. This involves a thorough review of systems, medication list (including over-the-counter and herbal supplements), and substance use (caffeine, alcohol, illicit drugs). Common medical masqueraders include thyroid dysfunction, vitamin deficiencies (e.g., B12), neurologic disorders, and electrolyte imbalances [23]. A targeted physical examination and selected laboratory tests may be warranted based on the clinical presentation.
3. **Assessment of Comorbidities:** Psychiatric disorders rarely occur in isolation. The co-occurrence of depression and anxiety is the rule rather than the exception. Furthermore, substance use disorders frequently complicate the picture. The initial assessment should therefore cast a wide net, using the initial positive screen as a gateway to evaluate for other common conditions.
4. **Use of Diagnostic Criteria:** While screening tools are efficient, the formal diagnosis should be based on standardized diagnostic criteria, such as those outlined in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition (DSM-5) or the International Classification of Diseases, 11th Revision (ICD-11). This ensures diagnostic accuracy and facilitates appropriate treatment planning and communication with specialists.

Despite the availability of excellent tools, challenges in assessment persist. These include the "false positive" problem in low-prevalence populations, which can lead to unnecessary patient anxiety and further testing, and the limited availability of screening tools validated across diverse cultural and linguistic populations. The future of assessment in primary care lies in further integration of technology, such as the use of computerized adaptive testing that can refine questions based on previous answers, and the development of EHR-integrated clinical decision support systems that guide the PCP through the next steps following a positive screen.

Pharmacological Management of Common Psychiatric Disorders:

The prescription of psychotropic medications is a core and increasingly central component of the primary care physician's (PCP) clinical responsibilities. Given that the majority of psychiatric medications are prescribed in the primary care setting, PCPs function as the primary architects of pharmacological management for a vast population of patients with mental health conditions [23].

The pharmacological management of psychiatric disorders in primary care is guided by several key principles that distinguish it from other areas of medicine. First and foremost is the principle of "start low, go slow." Psychotropic medications, particularly antidepressants and antipsychotics, often require slow titration to minimize initial side effects, which can significantly impact adherence [24]. Secondly, PCPs must manage patient expectations regarding the timeline of response. Unlike antibiotics, which work in days, most antidepressants and anxiolytics require 4-6 weeks at a therapeutic dose to achieve full effect, a point that must be clearly communicated to prevent early discontinuation [25].

Furthermore, the management of comorbid medical conditions is paramount. PCPs are uniquely positioned to assess for drug-disease interactions, such as avoiding bupropion in patients with seizure disorders or being cautious with tricyclic antidepressants (TCAs) in patients with cardiac conduction issues [26]. Finally, the principle of measurement-based care is essential. Utilizing standardized tools like the PHQ-9 or GAD-7 to objectively quantify symptom improvement provides a data-driven basis for clinical decisions, helping to determine whether a dose adjustment, a switch, or a referral is necessary [20].

1. **Major Depressive Disorder (MDD):** Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors (SSRIs) are universally regarded as the first-line pharmacological treatment for MDD in primary care due to their favorable safety profile, tolerability, and broad efficacy [26, 27]. Agents such as sertraline, escitalopram, and citalopram are common initial choices. The selection among them is often based on side effect profiles; for instance, sertraline may be preferred if weight gain is a concern, while escitalopram is noted for its minimal drug interaction profile. Serotonin-Norepinephrine Reuptake Inhibitors (SNRIs) like venlafaxine and duloxetine are considered second-line or first-line for patients with comorbid neuropathic pain conditions [25]. It is critical for PCPs to be proficient in managing common SSRI side effects, such as transient nausea, headache, and sexual dysfunction, as these are frequent causes of non-adherence. The initial activation period (increased anxiety, insomnia) must also be anticipated and managed, sometimes with short-term, low-dose benzodiazepines, though this requires extreme caution.

2. Anxiety Disorders (Generalized Anxiety, Panic, Social Anxiety):

SSRIs and SNRIs are also the first-line pharmacological agents for most anxiety disorders, representing a cornerstone of treatment [28]. The same "start low, go slow" principle is even more critical here, as the initial activating effects of these medications can exacerbate anxiety symptoms. For example, starting with 5-10 mg of fluoxetine or 12.5 mg of sertraline and titrating upwards over several weeks is a common strategy for panic disorder. Benzodiazepines (e.g., lorazepam, clonazepam), while providing rapid symptom relief, pose significant risks for dependence, tolerance, cognitive impairment, and falls in the elderly [29]. Their use in primary care should be highly circumscribed, reserved for severe, breakthrough anxiety on a short-term basis, or used only in patients without a history of substance use disorder. Pregabalin and buspirone are other non-benzodiazepine options, particularly for generalized anxiety disorder.

3. Insomnia:

The management of insomnia should always begin with non-pharmacological interventions, such as cognitive-behavioral therapy for insomnia (CBT-I), which is considered first-line treatment [27]. When pharmacotherapy is necessary, the preferred agents are non-benzodiazepine receptor agonists (e.g., zolpidem, zaleplon) or melatonin receptor agonists (ramelteon), which have a better safety profile than traditional benzodiazepines [29]. However, all hypnotics carry risks of dependence, tolerance, and complex sleep behaviors. A highly relevant and often underutilized option in primary care is low-dose sedating antidepressants, such as trazodone (25-100 mg) or mirtazapine (7.5-15 mg), which can be particularly useful in patients with comorbid depression and insomnia [26].

Table 3: First-Line Pharmacological Agents for Common Psychiatric Disorders in Primary Care [27, 30- 33]

Disorder	First-Line Agent Classes	Example Medications	Key Monitoring Parameters & Clinical Pearls
Major Depressive Disorder	SSRIs, SNRIs	Sertraline, Escitalopram, Venlafaxine, Duloxetine	Monitor for activation (first 2 weeks), SI, weight change, sexual dysfunction. Assess efficacy at 4-6 weeks with PHQ-9.
Generalized Anxiety Disorder	SSRIs, SNRIs	Escitalopram, Sertraline, Duloxetine, Venlafaxine	Start at half typical antidepressant dose. Monitor for initial jitteriness. Avoid long-term benzodiazepines.
Insomnia	Sedating Antidepressants, Melatonin Agonists, Z-Drugs	Trazodone, Mirtazapine, Ramelteon, Zolpidem	Prioritize sleep hygiene/CBT-I. Monitor for next-day sedation, dependence (Z-drugs). Avoid chronic benzodiazepines.
Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (Adult)	Stimulants, Non-Stimulants	Methylphenidate, Amphetamine salts, Atomoxetine	Check BP/HR at baseline and periodically. Assess for misuse potential. Atomoxetine has no abuse liability.

Prescribing a psychotropic medication is only the beginning of the therapeutic process. Proactive monitoring is essential for both safety and efficacy.

- **Suicidality and Activation:** The FDA black box warning for antidepressants regarding the potential increase in suicidal ideation and behavior in children, adolescents, and young adults is a critical consideration [25]. PCPs must schedule a follow-up visit within 1-2 weeks of initiating therapy to assess for this activation, increased anxiety, or emergent suicidality.
- **Metabolic Monitoring:** Second-generation (atypical) antipsychotics, which are increasingly used by PCPs for conditions like treatment-resistant depression and agitation in dementia, carry a significant risk of metabolic syndrome [30]. Baseline and periodic monitoring of weight, body mass index (BMI), waist circumference, fasting blood glucose or HbA1c, and a lipid panel is a standard of care that must be diligently followed [26].
- **Pharmacokinetic Interactions:** PCPs must be vigilant about drug-drug interactions, as many psychotropics are metabolized by the cytochrome P450 system. For example, fluoxetine and paroxetine are potent CYP2D6 inhibitors and can increase levels of co-prescribed beta-blockers, opioids, and some antiarrhythmics [26].
- **Withdrawal Syndromes:** Abrupt discontinuation of SSRIs/SNRIs (particularly paroxetine and venlafaxine) can lead to a disabling discontinuation syndrome characterized by dizziness, nausea, paresthesia, and anxiety [27]. Patients should be educated on the need for a slow, tapered reduction under medical supervision.

A crucial aspect of the PCP's role is recognizing the limits of primary care-based pharmacotherapy. Indications for a psychiatric consultation or referral include:

- Treatment-resistant depression (failure to respond to two adequate trials of antidepressants from different classes).
- Suspected bipolar disorder (to avoid the risk of inducing mania with an antidepressant).
- Presence of psychotic symptoms.
- Significant risk of harm to self or others.
- Complex comorbidity (e.g., co-occurring substance use and eating disorders).

Psychotherapeutic Interventions in Primary Care:

While pharmacological management is a cornerstone of treatment, the integration of psychotherapeutic and counseling techniques into the primary care visit represents an equally vital, though often underutilized, component of comprehensive mental healthcare. The traditional model of referring all patients in need of therapy to external behavioral health specialists is often impractical due to shortages of providers, financial barriers, and patient reluctance [30].

The imperative for PCPs to engage in basic counseling and psychoeducation is supported by several compelling factors. First, the therapeutic relationship between a PCP and their patient, often built over years

of continuous care, provides a unique foundation of trust and credibility that can be leveraged to facilitate behavioral change [31]. When a trusted physician provides advice on managing anxiety or offers a framework for understanding depression, patients are often more receptive. Second, many patients with mild to moderate symptoms of common mental disorders may not require intensive, long-term therapy but can benefit significantly from targeted, skills-based interventions that address specific problems [32]. Furthermore, integrating these techniques can improve the management of functional somatic syndromes and poorly explained physical symptoms, which are frequently manifestations of underlying psychological distress [17].

Effective psychotherapeutic intervention in primary care is built upon a set of core communication and patient engagement skills that go beyond the traditional biomedical history.

- **Active Listening and Empathic Responding:** This involves fully concentrating on the patient, understanding their message, and reflecting that understanding back to them. Simple statements like, "It sounds like you've been feeling completely overwhelmed by this," validate the patient's experience and build rapport [33].
- **Psychoeducation:** Providing patients with clear, normalized information about their condition is a therapeutic intervention in itself. Explaining the neurobiology of anxiety as a "false alarm" from the body's fight-or-flight system, or describing depression as a medical condition that affects energy, sleep, and concentration, can reduce self-blame and increase treatment adherence [34].
- **A Collaborative, Patient-Centered Approach:** Framing the patient as the expert on their own life and the physician as a consultant who provides options empowers the patient. Using shared decision-making to create a treatment plan, whether it involves medication, lifestyle changes, or a counseling technique, increases ownership and follow-through [31].

Several structured models have been adapted specifically for the time-pressured primary care environment. These are not full therapies but rather structured communication tools that can be integrated into a routine visit.

1. **The BATHE Technique:** Developed specifically for primary care, BATHE is a rapid psychosocial assessment and intervention tool that can be administered in under 5 minutes [35]. The acronym stands for:
   - **B**ackground: "What is going on in your life?"
   - **A**ffect: "How do you feel about that?"
   - **T**rouble: "What about the situation troubles you the most?"
   - **H**andling: "How are you handling that?"
   - **E**mpathy: "That must be very difficult." This technique efficiently helps the PCP understand the context of the patient's symptoms, validates their emotions, and demonstrates empathy, often providing significant relief in a brief interaction.

2. **Problem-Solving Therapy (PST):** Adapted for primary care, PST is a structured, cognitive-behavioral approach that teaches patients a systematic method for coping with stressful life difficulties [36]. The PCP guides the patient through a series of steps: defining the problem clearly, brainstorming a list of potential solutions, evaluating the pros and cons of each solution, selecting and implementing one solution, and evaluating the outcome. This is highly effective for patients who feel "stuck" by specific, definable problems and empowers them with a tangible skill set.

3. **Motivational Interviewing (MI):** MI is a collaborative, goal-oriented style of communication with particular attention to the language of change [37]. It is especially useful in addressing ambivalence about health behaviors, such as medication adherence, lifestyle changes, or reducing alcohol use. Rather than confronting or arguing with the patient, the PCP using MI seeks to explore the patient's own motivations for change by eliciting "change talk" (e.g., the patient's own reasons for wanting to change) and resolving ambivalence through reflective listening and affirming the patient's autonomy.

Table 4: Brief Psychotherapeutic Interventions for the Primary Care Toolkit [33, 34, 38].

Intervention	Core Objective	Sample PCP Language	Clinical Context
BATHE Technique[35]	Rapid psychosocial assessment and empathy.	"What's going on in your life? (B) How do you feel about that? (A) What troubles you most about it? (T) How are you handling it? (H) That sounds very difficult. (E)"	Any patient presenting with stress, somatic complaints, or emotional distress.
Problem-Solving Therapy (PST)[36]	To provide a structured method for coping with specific problems.	"Let's define the problem clearly. Now, what are *all* the possible ways you could deal with this? What are the good and bad points of each? Which one will you try this week?"	Patients feeling overwhelmed by a concrete problem (e.g., job stress, family conflict).
Motivational Interviewing (MI)[37]	To resolve ambivalence and strengthen personal motivation for change.	"On a scale of 1 to 10, how important is it for you to cut back on drinking? Why a 5 and not a 3? What would it take to move from a 5 to a 7?"	Patients with unhealthy behaviors who are ambivalent about change (substance use, poor diet, non-adherence).
Behavioral Activation (BA)	To counter avoidance and improve mood by increasing engagement in rewarding activities.	"When you feel down, it's natural to stop doing things. This makes the depression worse. Let's schedule one small, pleasant activity for each day this week, even if you don't feel like it."	Patients with depression who are withdrawn and inactive.

Two specific interventions are particularly well-suited for PCPs due to their straightforward, actionable nature.

- **Behavioral Activation (BA) for Depression:** BA is a fundamental component of Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT) that can be effectively initiated in primary care. It is based on the model that depression leads to avoidance and inactivity, which in turn worsens mood [32]. The PCP works with the patient to identify values-based activities that have been dropped and collaboratively schedules them in a graded fashion, starting with small, achievable tasks. For example, a patient might agree to take a 10-minute walk three times in the coming week. This process helps to counter the inertia of depression and can create positive reinforcement from mastery and pleasure.
- **Sleep Hygiene and Stimulus Control for Insomnia:** For patients with insomnia, providing a printed handout and brief counseling on sleep hygiene is a highly effective first-step intervention [29]. This includes advice such as maintaining a consistent sleep schedule, ensuring the bedroom is dark and cool, avoiding caffeine and screens before bed, and using the bed only for sleep and sex. A key component is **stimulus control instruction:** instructing the patient to get out of bed if they are unable to sleep after 20 minutes and only return when feeling sleepy, to break the association between the bed and frustration. These behavioral strategies are often more effective and sustainable than hypnotic medications.

Despite their utility, the implementation of these techniques faces barriers. Time remains the most significant constraint, and PCPs may feel inadequately trained in these methods. Furthermore, it is crucial to recognize the boundaries of primary care counseling; these brief interventions are not suitable for patients with severe trauma, active psychosis, or complex personality disorders. The future lies in enhanced training during residency and through continuing medical education, and in the wider adoption of integrated collaborative care models. In these models, the PCP delivers the initial psychosocial support, while the embedded behavioral health care manager can provide more sustained PST or BA, creating a seamless spectrum of psychological care within the medical home.

Barriers to Effective Management:

The pivotal role of the primary care physician (PCP) in managing psychiatric disorders is well-established, yet the effective execution of this role is frequently hampered by a complex array of systemic, clinician-centered, and patient-centered barriers. Despite the high prevalence of mental health conditions in primary care settings and the availability of evidence-based treatments, a significant gap persists between patient need and the quality of care received [6]. Understanding these impediments is crucial for developing targeted strategies to overcome them.

The very structure of modern primary care practice creates formidable obstacles to the effective management of psychiatric disorders.

- **Time Constraints and Competing Demands:** The quintessential challenge for PCPs is the profound

limitation of time. The standard 15-20 minute appointment is designed to address one or two acute issues or a limited number of preventive care measures. A nuanced mental health assessment, which requires exploring emotional states, psychosocial stressors, safety, and functional impact, is inherently time-intensive.[5] This time pressure forces PCPs into a trade-off, often prioritizing pressing physical complaints over "softer" psychiatric symptoms. Furthermore, the management of chronic medical conditions like diabetes and hypertension consumes a substantial portion of the visit, leaving inadequate time to address comorbid depression or anxiety with the depth it requires [38]. This environment fosters the phenomenon of "diagnostic overshadowing," where physical symptoms are addressed while the underlying psychiatric etiology is missed.

- **Inadequate Reimbursement and Financing Models:** The fee-for-service reimbursement system, which still dominates primary care, financially disincentivizes the complex, longitudinal care that psychiatric disorders demand [7]. Current procedural terminology (CPT) codes for evaluation and management are primarily weighted toward physical exam elements and medical decision-making, not for the time spent in counseling, psychoeducation, or care coordination. While specific billing codes for collaborative care management (CoCM) exist, their adoption is not universal, and the administrative burden of implementation can be prohibitive for smaller practices [39]. Without adequate financial support, practices cannot justify allocating the necessary resources—such as integrated behavioral health staff or extended appointment times—to provide high-quality mental healthcare.
- **Fragmented Care and Limited Access to Specialists:** The historical separation of mental health from general medical care has created a deeply fragmented system. When a PCP identifies a complex psychiatric case requiring specialist input, the referral pathway is often blocked. Severe shortages of psychiatrists, particularly for children, adolescents, and geriatric populations, result in wait times that can extend for months [13]. Even when a referral is made, communication between the PCP and the psychiatrist is often minimal, leading to disjointed care, medication mishaps, and patient frustration. This lack of a reliable "safety net" can make PCPs hesitant to manage more complex cases, knowing that specialist backup is not readily available.

Barriers also originate from the PCPs themselves, rooted in their training, attitudes, and perceived competencies.

- **Training and Skill Gaps:** Many PCPs report feeling inadequately trained and under-confident in managing psychiatric conditions beyond straightforward cases of mild-to-moderate depression or anxiety [7]. Medical education and residency training have traditionally placed a stronger emphasis on biomedical models than on psychosocial care. This can lead to gaps in skills such as motivational interviewing, brief cognitive-behavioral techniques, and the management of treatment-resistant conditions or complex psychopharmacology (e.g., managing side effects of second-generation antipsychotics, using mood stabilizers) [40]. As a result, PCPs may experience "therapeutic nihilism"—a belief that their interventions will be ineffective—which can unconsciously influence their approach to patients with mental illness.
- **Burnout and Compassion Fatigue:** PCPs experience high rates of professional burnout, characterized by emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and a reduced sense of personal accomplishment [41]. Managing complex psychiatric patients, who often have significant psychosocial needs and may not show immediate improvement, is emotionally draining and time-consuming. In a state of burnout, a PCP may subconsciously avoid in-depth exploration of psychiatric symptoms as a coping mechanism to conserve emotional energy and maintain a manageable workflow. This can further exacerbate the neglect of mental health issues within the practice.

Finally, factors related to patients and societal attitudes present significant challenges to care engagement and delivery.

- **Stigma and Somatic Presentation:** The stigma associated with mental illness remains a powerful deterrent to care. Patients may fear being labeled "crazy" or may view mental health conditions as a personal failing rather than a medical one [42]. This often leads to the "somatization" of distress, where patients present with physical complaints like headaches, fatigue, insomnia, or gastrointestinal issues, rather than describing feelings of sadness or worry [17]. The PCP must therefore act as a detective, discerning the psychological roots of physical symptoms, a task made infinitely more difficult when the patient is not able to articulate their emotional state.
- **Limited Health Literacy and Cultural Factors:** Patients' understanding of mental health concepts varies widely. Low health literacy can impair a patient's ability to comprehend a diagnosis, understand the rationale for treatment, or adhere to a medication regimen [43]. Furthermore, cultural beliefs about the cause of mental illness (e.g., spiritual, moral, or social causes) and the acceptability of various treatments (e.g., reluctance to take psychotropic medications) can create significant barriers to a shared understanding and effective collaboration between the PCP and the patient.

Table 5: Summary of Key Barriers and Potential Mitigation Strategies [40- 43]

Barrier Category	Specific Challenge	Potential Mitigation Strategies
Structural/Systemic	Time constraints; competing demands	Use of ultra-brief screening tools (e.g., PHQ-2); scheduled follow-up visits dedicated to mental health; team-based care.
Structural/Systemic	Inadequate reimbursement	Advocacy for payment reform; adoption of alternative payment models (e.g., CoCM codes, capitation); demonstrating value to payers.
Structural/Systemic	Fragmented care; lack of specialist access	Implementation of collaborative care models; development of formal consultation-liaison partnerships with psychiatry; use of telepsychiatry.
Clinician-Centered	Training and skill gaps	Enhanced mental health curricula in medical education and residency; continuing medical education in brief interventions and psychopharmacology.
Clinician-Centered	Burnout and compassion fatigue	Systemic interventions to reduce administrative burden; promoting workplace wellness; normalizing help-seeking among clinicians.
Patient-Centered/Societal	Stigma and somatic presentation	Universal health literacy-sensitive communication; normalizing mental health as part of overall health; proactive screening.
Patient-Centered/Societal	Limited health literacy and cultural factors	Use of simple language and visual aids; employing cultural humility; utilizing professional interpreters and culture-brokers.

The barriers to effective psychiatric management in primary care are multifaceted and deeply entrenched within the healthcare system, the medical profession, and society at large. They form a synergistic network of challenges that no single intervention can overcome.

LIMITATIONS

This narrative review has certain limitations. As a non-systematic synthesis of the literature, it may not encompass all relevant studies. Furthermore, the heterogeneity of primary care settings, patient populations, and healthcare systems across the cited research limits the generalizability of some findings and recommendations.

CONCLUSION

The effective management of psychiatric disorders is a core competency for the modern primary care physician, who functions as the *de facto* frontline provider of mental healthcare. To close the persistent treatment gap, a fundamental redesign of care delivery is imperative. This includes the widespread implementation of evidence-based, integrated models like collaborative care; systemic reforms to ensure adequate reimbursement and resources; and enhanced training for PCPs in both psychopharmacology and brief psychotherapeutic interventions. Concurrently, sustained efforts to destigmatize mental illness are essential to improve patient engagement. By empowering PCPs within a restructured, supportive system, we can achieve the ultimate goal of accessible, high-quality, and equitable mental healthcare for all.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Future efforts must focus on translating the robust evidence for integrated care into widespread practice. Key priorities include advocating for permanent and adequate payment models for collaborative care, embedding mental health training into primary care residency curricula, and utilizing technology (e.g., telepsychiatry, digital symptom trackers) to extend specialist support. Research should continue to evaluate the implementation of these models in diverse settings and their adaptation for a broader range of psychiatric conditions.

DECLARATIONS

Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate

Not applicable.

Consent for Publication

Not applicable.

Funding

None.

Competing Interests

None.

Authors' Contributions

N.M.A. and A.M.A. contributed equally as lead authors, conceiving the review, designing its structure, and supervising the project from inception to completion. F.M.S. H.M.A., and S.K.S. conducted the primary

literature search, data extraction, and synthesis. L.F.A., A.H.M., and O.E.A. drafted major sections of the manuscript, with a focus on assessment, pharmacological management, and barriers to care. A.H.A., RFA, and Y.M.H. provided critical revisions for intellectual content, ensuring accuracy in the representation of clinical guidelines and primary care practice. S.A.A. assisted with formatting, reference management, and the preparation of tables.
All authors reviewed, edited, and approved the final submitted version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements
Not applicable.

REFERENCES

1. Martinelli A. Sustainable promotion of mental health and prevention of mental health disorders across the world. InIntegrated Science for Sustainable Development Goal 3: Empowering Global Wellness Initiatives 2024 Dec 12 (pp. 1-23). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.
2. Kessler R, Stafford D. Primary care is the de facto mental health system. InCollaborative medicine case studies: Evidence in practice 2008 Mar 11 (pp. 9-21). New York, NY: Springer New York.
3. Gunn Jr WB, Blount A. Primary care mental health: A new frontier for psychology. Journal of clinical psychology. 2009 Mar;65(3):235-52.
4. Moussavi S, Chatterji S, Verdes E, Tandon A, Patel V, Ustun B. Depression, chronic diseases, and decrements in health: results from the World Health Surveys. The lancet. 2007 Sep 8;370(9590):851-8.
5. Mitchell AJ, Vaze A, Rao S. Clinical diagnosis of depression in primary care: a meta-analysis. The Lancet. 2009 Aug 22;374(9690):609-19.
6. Wang PS, Lane M, Olfson M, Pincus HA, Wells KB, Kessler RC. Twelve-month use of mental health services in the United States: results from the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. Archives of general psychiatry. 2005 Jun 1;62(6):629-40.
7. Cunningham PJ. Beyond Parity: Primary Care Physicians' Perspectives On Access To Mental Health Care: More PCPs have trouble obtaining mental health services for their patients than have problems getting other specialty services. Health affairs. 2009;28(Suppl1):w490-501.
8. Archer J, Bower P, Gilbody S, Lovell K, Richards D, Gask L, Dickens C, Coventry P. Collaborative care for depression and anxiety problems. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2012(10).
10. Unützer J, Katon WJ, Fan MY, Schoenbaum MC, Lin EH, Della Penna RD, Powers D. Long-term cost effects of collaborative care for late-life depression. The American journal of managed care. 2008 Feb;14(2):95.
11. Katon W, Unützer J. Consultation psychiatry in the medical home and accountable care organizations: achieving the triple aim. General hospital psychiatry. 2011 Jun 15;33(4):305.
12. Kathol RG, Butler M, McAlpine DD, Kane RL. Barriers to physical and mental condition integrated service delivery. Biopsychosocial Science and Medicine. 2010 Jul 1;72(6):511-8.
13. Mojtabai R, Olfson M. National trends in psychotropic medication polypharmacy in office-based psychiatry. Archives of general psychiatry. 2010 Jan 1;67(1):26-36.
14. Cunningham PJ. Beyond Parity: Primary Care Physicians' Perspectives On Access To Mental Health Care: More PCPs have trouble obtaining mental health services for their patients than have problems getting other specialty services. Health affairs. 2009;28(Suppl1):w490-501.
15. Huyse FJ, Lyons JS, Stiefel FC, Slaets JP, De Jonge P, Fink P, Gans RO, Guex P, Herzog T, Lobo A, Smith GC. "INTERMED": a method to assess health service needs: I. Development and reliability. General hospital psychiatry. 1999 Jan 1;21(1):39-48.
16. Coventry PA, Hudson JL, Kontopantelis E, Archer J, Richards DA, Gilbody S, Lovell K, Dickens C, Gask L, Waheed W, Bower P. Characteristics of effective collaborative care for treatment of depression: a systematic review and meta-regression of 74 randomised controlled trials. PloS one. 2014 Sep 29;9(9):e108114.
17. Katon WJ, Schoenbaum M, Fan MY, Callahan CM, Williams J, Hunkeler E, Harpole L, Zhou XH, Langston C, Unützer J, IMPACT Investigators. Cost-effectiveness of improving primary care treatment of late-life depression. Archives of general psychiatry. 2005 Dec 1;62(12):1313-20.
18. Miller BF, Ross KM, Davis MM, Melek SP, Kathol R, Gordon P. Payment reform in the patient-centered medical home: Enabling and sustaining integrated behavioral health care. American Psychologist. 2017 Jan;72(1):55.
19. Goodell S, Druss BG, Walker ER, Mat MJ. Mental disorders and medical comorbidity. Robert Wood Johnson Foundation. 2011 Feb;2(1).
20. Williams N. PHQ-9. Occupational medicine. 2014 Mar 1;64(2):139-40.
21. Löwe B, Kroenke K, Gräfe K. Detecting and monitoring depression with a two-item questionnaire (PHQ-2). Journal of psychosomatic research. 2005 Feb 1;58(2):163-71.
22. Bradley KA, DeBenedetti AF, Volk RJ, Williams EC, Frank D, Kivlahan DR. AUDIT-C as a brief screen for alcohol misuse in primary care. Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research. 2007 Jul;31(7):1208-17.
23. Yang HC, Liu TB, Rong H, Bi JQ, Ji EN, Peng HJ, Wang XP, Fang YR, Yuan CM, Si TM, Lu Z. Evaluation of Mood Disorder Questionnaire (MDQ) in patients with mood disorders: a multicenter trial across China. PLoS One. 2014 Apr 4;9(4):e91895.
24. Prins A, Bovin MJ, Smolenski DJ, Marx BP, Kimerling R, Jenkins-Guarnieri MA, Kaloupek DG, Schnurr PP, Kaiser AP, Leyva YE, Tiet QQ. The primary care PTSD screen for DSM-5 (PC-PTSD-5): development and

evaluation within a veteran primary care sample. Journal of general internal medicine. 2016 Oct;31(10):1206-11.
25. Stahl SM. Essential psychopharmacology: Neuroscientific basis and practical applications. Cambridge university press; 2000 Jul 13.
26. Rush AJ, Trivedi MH, Wisniewski SR, Nierenberg AA, Stewart JW, Warden D, Niederehe G, Thase ME, Lavori PW, Lebowitz BD, McGrath PJ. Acute and longer-term outcomes in depressed outpatients requiring one or several treatment steps: a STAR* D report. American Journal of Psychiatry. 2006 Nov;163(11):1905-17.
27. O'Connor EA, Whitlock EP, Beil TL, Gaynes BN. Screening for depression in adult patients in primary care settings: a systematic evidence review. Annals of internal medicine. 2009 Dec 1;151(11):793-803.
28. Kennedy SH, Lam RW, McIntyre RS, Tourjman SV, Bhat V, Blier P, Hasnain M, Jollant F, Levitt AJ, MacQueen GM, McInerney SJ. Canadian Network for Mood and Anxiety Treatments (CANMAT) 2016 clinical guidelines for the management of adults with major depressive disorder: section 3. Pharmacological treatments. The Canadian Journal of Psychiatry. 2016 Sep;61(9):540-60.
29. Baldwin DS, Anderson IM, Nutt DJ, Allgulander C, Bandelow B, den Boer JA, Christmas DM, Davies S, Fineberg N, Lidbetter N, Malizia A. Evidence-based pharmacological treatment of anxiety disorders, post-traumatic stress disorder and obsessive-compulsive disorder: a revision of the 2005 guidelines from the British Association for Psychopharmacology. Journal of Psychopharmacology. 2014 May;28(5):403-39.
30. Wilt TJ, MacDonald R, Brasure M, Olson CM, Carlyle M, Fuchs E, Khawaja IS, Diem S, Koffel E, Ouellette J, Butler M. Pharmacologic treatment of insomnia disorder: an evidence report for a clinical practice guideline by the American College of Physicians. Annals of internal medicine. 2016 Jul 19;165(2):103-12.
31. De Hert M, Detraux J, Van Winkel R, Yu W, Correll CU. Metabolic and cardiovascular adverse effects associated with antipsychotic drugs. Nature Reviews Endocrinology. 2012 Feb;8(2):114-26.
32. Mead N, Bower P. Patient-centredness: a conceptual framework and review of the empirical literature. Social science & medicine. 2000 Oct 1;51(7):1087-110.
33. Ekers D, Richards D, Gilbody S. A meta-analysis of randomized trials of behavioural treatment of depression. Psychological medicine. 2008 May;38(5):611-23.
34. Coulehan JL, Platt FW, Egener B, Frankel R, Lin CT, Lown B, Salazar WH. "Let me see if I have this right…": words that help build empathy.
35. Donker T, Griffiths KM, Cuijpers P, Christensen H. Psychoeducation for depression, anxiety and psychological distress: a meta-analysis. BMC medicine. 2009 Dec 16;7(1):79.
36. Lieberman III JA, Stuart MR. The BATHE method: incorporating counseling and psychotherapy into the everyday management of patients. Primary care companion to the Journal of clinical psychiatry. 1999 Apr;1(2):35.
37. Malouff JM, Thorsteinsson EB, Schutte NS. The efficacy of problem solving therapy in reducing mental and physical health problems: a meta-analysis. Clinical psychology review. 2007 Jan 1;27(1):46-57.
38. Yarnall KS, Pollak KI, Østbye T, Krause KM, Michener JL. Primary care: is there enough time for prevention?. American journal of public health. 2003 Apr;93(4):635-41.
39. Miller BF, Ross KM, Davis MM, Melek SP, Kathol R, Gordon P. Payment reform in the patient-centered medical home: Enabling and sustaining integrated behavioral health care. American Psychologist. 2017 Jan;72(1):55.
40. Rauch AM, McLean CP. Terms that appear in this Glossary are either drawn directly or adapted with permission from the APA Dictionary of Psychology , except where cited otherwise.
41. West CP, Dyrbye LN, Shanafelt TD. Physician burnout: contributors, consequences and solutions. Journal of internal medicine. 2018 Jun;283(6):516-29.
42. Corrigan PW, Druss BG, Perlick DA. The impact of mental illness stigma on seeking and participating in mental health care. Psychological science in the public interest. 2014 Oct;15(2):37-70.
43. DeWalt DA, Berkman ND, Sheridan S, Lohr KN, Pignone MP. Literacy and health outcomes: a systematic review of the literature. Journal of general internal medicine. 2004 Dec;19(12):1228-39.